

## BO. MARCHE.

314-316-318 7th.

### TELLING REDUCTIONS FOR SATURDAY.

Using the influence of low prices to the utmost extent to tempt you out this hot weather. A list of specials for Saturday that will defy the sultry weather:

#### Boys' Blouses.

Boys' White Lawn Blouses, with colored and white trim, 12 to 14 years. All sizes. Regularly \$1.25. For Saturday, 69c.

#### Muslin Underwear.

Ladies' Muslin and Cambric Petticoats, with white ruffle of muslin, 12 to 14 years. All sizes. Regularly \$1.25. For Saturday, 38c.

#### All Colored Shirt Waists, 49c.

#### All White Shirt Waists About Half Price.

#### Neckwear.

814 String Ties, bat and in white and colors, plain and fancy. All sizes. Regularly \$1.25. For Saturday, 19c.

#### Hosiery.

Children's First Black Hosiery, seamless, with elastic knee and toe. Worth 15c. For Saturday, 11c.

#### Ribbons.

A table of Ribbons in Taffeta, Satin, Gilt and other materials. 2 1/2 and 3 inches wide. Worth 35c. and 50c. For Saturday, 21c.

#### Bon Marche,

314, 316, 318 7th.

#### TACKLE.

All sorts of Fishing Tackle and side owners of the famous Tackle and Fishing Tackle Co. 1209 M. A. Tappan & Co., Inc.

#### Bathing Suits

At Cost.

\$1 quality, striped; warranted fast colors. 69c.

#### C. Auerbach,

402 2nd COR. 7th and 8th Sts.

#### METAL HOOKS IN MEATS

#### COMMISSIONERS HAVE POWER TO PROHIBIT THEIR USE.

Draft of Proposed Regulation on Subject Submitted by Assistant Attorney Brandenburg.

The attention of the District Commissioners was invited several weeks ago by Mr. Herbert L. Davis to the metal hooks or tags which are at times found in meats sold here, with the request that measures be adopted to prevent their use. The matter was referred by the Commissioners to their attorney for an opinion as to their authority to make a regulation concerning the use of the tags or hooks, and today his first assistant, Mr. Clarence A. Brandenburg, advised them that they are authorized to make the proposed regulation, and he transmitted the following draft of one:

"It shall be unlawful for any person to tag or mark meat intended for sale by metal staples or similar devices inserted therein less than two inches in length or breadth, or to sell or offer for sale any meat so tagged. Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this regulation shall, upon conviction thereof in the Police Court, be punished by a fine of not less than \$5 nor more than \$50."

"It appears," Mr. Brandenburg, in his opinion, "that metal staples such as that inclosed by Mr. Davis are now in extensive use in this city. The one transmits a message to the consumer, and the other piece of stiff spring wire sharpened at both ends and bent to a 'U' or staple shape, with its legs converging slightly toward their ends, and each end each end each end with a sharpened barb."

"They seem to have originated in the large abattoirs of the west, and are designed to make the tagging of meat for the purpose of designating the establishment from which it comes. Recently they have been used by some butchers to mark the meat one for every quarter of beef and one for every sheep, calf and hog."

"Unquestionably Dangerous."

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"In view of the authorities referred to, Mr. Brandenburg is therefore of the opinion of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."

"Brandenburg then quotes the law applicable in the case referring to a regulation of the Court of Appeals that Congress has increased the authority of the Commissioners to make and enforce police regulations to the full extent of those frequently if not generally intrusted to municipal corporations. He quotes Tiedeman, Limitation of Police Power, 17, as follows: 'Where, therefore, the prosecution of a particular calling threatens damage to the public or other individuals, it is a legitimate subject for police regulation to the extent of preventing the evil.'"

"The presence of such staples in meat as it comes to the consumer is, in the opinion of the health officer, unquestionably dangerous, and the only protection which the consumer has in the case of such staples is the retail butcher. As shown in the case of Mr. Davis, this safeguard is not always sufficient. Moreover, it appears from the papers herewith that the use of such staples is not necessary and should be prohibited, if the authority to do so is vested in the Commissioners."



CHAMPIONS WARD AND DAVIS.

## ENGLISH OUTPLAYED

Ward and Davis Keep Davis Tennis Cup in This Country.

## KINLEY MAC OUTFOOTS ETHELBERG

Fitz and Ruhlman Are Both Confident of Winning.

## GENERAL SPORTING NEWS

The play in the international tennis tournament, at Longwood, Mass., yesterday, decided the custody of the Davis international challenge cup for the ensuing year in favor of the Americans. Having won two matches in singles yesterday, the American team needed only one more point. In the doubles match yesterday Holcombe Ward and Dwight F. Davis, the American champions, won from the English team, E. D. Black and H. R. Barrett, in straight sets, 6-4, 6-4, 6-4.

Two matches in singles, Whitman against Black and Gore against Davis, remain to be played, and the Englishmen are determined to have the satisfaction of these two points at least. Champion Whitman, however, is an almost sure winner against Black, and Davis' prospects with Gore are good. It will not be surprising if the Americans make a clean sweep and send the visitors home without a point.

But if the English players lose again they will still hope to retrieve themselves in the national all-comers' at Newport next week. They ought certainly to make a better showing after the opportunity they have had to size up the American game. Despite the fact that the Americans won in straight sets it was a great match.

The Englishmen struggled with characteristic pluck against a superior game, and at times it seemed as if their spirit and determination would overwhelm the American champions. There were two tests during the match, and the British team fought the game savagely. Black especially played a brilliant and heady game. His smashing was almost equal to Davis', and his backhand work was better. Barrett's volleying was rather weak.

"At" Smith—Ruhlman is too clever and shifty for "Fitz" to knock out. He is a much stronger and younger man than the former. Ruhlman is a champion, but he doesn't knock out "Fitz." He will certainly outlast him.

"Ed" Harris—"I am betting on Fitzsimmons, and I expect to see 'Bob' win in six or ten rounds. It wouldn't surprise me if Fitzsimmons put Ruhlman to sleep in the first round. Ruhlman is a very clever and shifty fellow. Fitzsimmons is as cool as an iceberg. 'Fitz' is very tricky in the ring and he will knock out Ruhlman in the first round. He will win in six or ten rounds. Ruhlman will get the money."

Considine—"Ruhlman's fight with Sharkey has convinced me that he has got a chance with anybody. I expect to see the 'Akron Giant' win, and I'm betting my money on him. I had a good bet on him. He will win in six or ten rounds. Ruhlman will get the money."

Sharkey Picks Fitz.

"Tom" Sharkey—"I pick Fitzsimmons to win in six or ten rounds. He is a much stronger and younger man than the former. Ruhlman is a champion, but he doesn't knock out 'Fitz.' He will certainly outlast him."

George Blier—"Ruhlman has height and weight, but he is not a champion. He is a very clever and shifty fellow. Fitzsimmons is a champion, but he doesn't knock out 'Fitz.' He will certainly outlast him."

"Lod" Houseman—"If Fitzsimmons is in as good shape as he represents himself to be, he will win in six or ten rounds. I will bet against \$800 on 'Bob.'"

## SARATOGA RACES.

Kinley Mack Beats Ethelberg, Owing to a Miscalculation.

As expected, the close at Brighton has proved a great advantage to the Saratoga Association. Yesterday morning's arrivals were many, and yet they are but a small part of the army which will be on the ground today. The magnet is the hope to regain some of the losses caused by the havoc wrought by the daily slaughter at Brighton, but yesterday's results 1-4 fourth consolation, as four of the five favorites were beaten.

Most costly of the upsets was the defeat of Ethelberg in the Beaverwyck handicap, which was guaranteed to be worth \$5,000. The colt did not leave Sheephead bay until Wednesday morning, and the trip was a hard one, the heat in his car being terrific. This morning he moved so well that his trainer, Joyner, decided to start him, and his opinion was that Ethelberg would win. That he did not win was the fault of Starter Caldwell, who sent him away from the post half a dozen lengths behind the winner, Kinley Mack. It was a great showing, and none who saw the race will refuse to admit that with an



BUFFALO RACES END WITH GOOD SPORT.

Spirited betting affairs were the order of the closing day of the Buffalo Driving Club's grand circuit meeting yesterday. Every one of the three events was hotly contested and some disappointments resulted. In the 213 pace Bonnie Direct sold at \$100 to \$25 favorite before the first two heats, but a bad break landed the Direct stallion in fifth place in the first heat and another bad break in the second heat. Timeless got the first heat, but could not hold Tom Nolan in the next two. Fred M., after laying up three heats, made a drive in the fourth, but was out of the stretch, and Nuckolls had no trouble landing the race with Nolan.

For the Queen City stake, trotting, 215 class, \$1,000, the early favorite, Nuckolls, in which Tom brought \$50 and David Harum \$30, but, after indulging King Jack with a heat, Gatscomb sent glory along in a drive in the fourth, but was out of the stretch, and Nuckolls had no trouble landing the race with Nolan.

For the Pan-American stake, 225 paces, the early favorite, Nuckolls, in which Tom brought \$50 and David Harum \$30, but, after indulging King Jack with a heat, Gatscomb sent glory along in a drive in the fourth, but was out of the stretch, and Nuckolls had no trouble landing the race with Nolan.

For the Queen City stake, trotting, 215 class, \$1,000, the early favorite, Nuckolls, in which Tom brought \$50 and David Harum \$30, but, after indulging King Jack with a heat, Gatscomb sent glory along in a drive in the fourth, but was out of the stretch, and Nuckolls had no trouble landing the race with Nolan.

For the Pan-American stake, 225 paces, the early favorite, Nuckolls, in which Tom brought \$50 and David Harum \$30, but, after indulging King Jack with a heat, Gatscomb sent glory along in a drive in the fourth, but was out of the stretch, and Nuckolls had no trouble landing the race with Nolan.

For the Queen City stake, trotting, 215 class, \$1,000, the early favorite, Nuckolls, in which Tom brought \$50 and David Harum \$30, but, after indulging King Jack with a heat, Gatscomb sent glory along in a drive in the fourth, but was out of the stretch, and Nuckolls had no trouble landing the race with Nolan.

For the Pan-American stake, 225 paces, the early favorite, Nuckolls, in which Tom brought \$50 and David Harum \$30, but, after indulging King Jack with a heat, Gatscomb sent glory along in a drive in the fourth, but was out of the stretch, and Nuckolls had no trouble landing the race with Nolan.

For the Queen City stake, trotting, 215 class, \$1,000, the early favorite, Nuckolls, in which Tom brought \$50 and David Harum \$30, but, after indulging King Jack with a heat, Gatscomb sent glory along in a drive in the fourth, but was out of the stretch, and Nuckolls had no trouble landing the race with Nolan.

For the Pan-American stake, 225 paces, the early favorite, Nuckolls, in which Tom brought \$50 and David Harum \$30, but, after indulging King Jack with a heat, Gatscomb sent glory along in a drive in the fourth, but was out of the stretch, and Nuckolls had no trouble landing the race with Nolan.